

Mark A. Hutchison (4639)  
Joseph S. Kistler (3458)  
Matthew K. Schriever (10745)  
HUTCHISON & STEFFEN, PLLC  
10080 West Alta Drive, Suite 200  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89145  
Telephone: (702) 385-2500  
Facsimile: (702) 385-2086  
mhutchison@hutchlegal.com  
jkistler@hutchlegal.com  
[mschriever@hutchlegal.com](mailto:mschriever@hutchlegal.com)

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

180 LAND CO LLC, a Nevada limited-liability  
company; FORE STARS, LTD., a Nevada limited-  
liability company; SEVENTY ACRES LLC, a  
Nevada limited-liability company; YOHAN  
LOWIE, an individual,

Plaintiffs,

v.

CITY OF LAS VEGAS, a political subdivision of  
the State of Nevada; JAMES R. COFFIN, in both his  
official capacity with the City of Las Vegas and in  
his personal capacity; STEVEN G. SEROKA, in  
both his official capacity with the City of Las Vegas  
and in his personal capacity,

Defendants.

Case: 2:18-cv-00547-JCM-CWH

**Stipulation and Order Extending  
Certain Deadlines Set in  
Scheduling Order and Extending  
the Time for Submitting LR 26-3  
Interim Status Report**

**(First Request)**

**(To be Referred to Magistrate  
Judge Pursuant to LR 26-7)**

Plaintiffs 180 Land Co LLC (“180 Land”), Fore Stars, Ltd (“Fore Stars”), Seventy Acres  
LLC (“Seventy Acres”), and Mr. Yohan Lowie (“Mr. Lowie”) appearing through their counsel  
of record; Defendant City of Las Vegas (“City”), appearing through its counsel of record; and  
Defendants James R. Coffin (“Coffin”) and Steven G. Seroka (“Seroka”), appearing through  
their counsel of record, hereby stipulate and agree to extend certain deadlines set forth in the

1 Scheduling Order and extend the time for submitting the LR 26-3 Interim Status Report. This is  
2 the first stipulation for extension of any of the Scheduling Order's interim deadlines and to file  
3 the LR 26-3 Interim Status Report.

4  
5 A. Deadlines Sought to be Extended

6 The Parties seek to extend the Scheduling Order's deadlines for Expert Disclosures and  
7 the LR 26-3 Interim Status Report from their current due dates of August 31, 2018 for a period  
8 of thirty days to October 1, 2018. Additional extensions of the Scheduling Order's deadlines, as  
9 discussed infra, should be requested prior to October 1, 2018.

10  
11 B. Discovery Completed

12 None, other than the exchange of Initial Disclosures under FRCP 26 (a)(1)(A).

13 C. Discovery That Remains to be Completed

14 Requests for production of documents by Plaintiffs from Defendants; other written  
15 discovery by Plaintiffs from Defendants; written discovery propounded by Defendants upon  
16 Plaintiffs; party and witness depositions by the Plaintiffs and Defendants.

17  
18 D. Reasons Why Discovery Has Not Been Completed/Interim Deadlines Cannot be Met

19 1. In the Stipulated Discovery Plan (#39) filed June 20, 2018, the Parties  
20 acknowledged and agreed that "the default periods and terms of discovery under LR 26-1 (b)  
21 will be insufficient to meet the discovery needs of this case and will need to be extended. Rather  
22 than submitting speculative special scheduling required dates now, counsel have agreed to  
23 commence discovery under a standard plan and request better-informed, more precise  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 extensions based upon the discovery completed or attempted.”<sup>1</sup> Thus, the default deadlines  
2 were submitted with the *caveat* that extensions undoubtedly would be required.<sup>2</sup>

3         2.         On July 6, 2018, Plaintiffs propounded their initial requests for production of  
4 documents upon Defendants. Defendants Coffin and Seroka served their responses August 8,  
5 2018; Defendant City served its responses August 20, 2018. No documents were provided by  
6 any of the Defendants in their responses. Consequently, a Motion to Compel responses from the  
7 Defendants was filed August 29, 2018 (#41).

8  
9         3.         As set forth in the responses attached to the Motion to Compel (#41, Exhibit 3),  
10 Defendants have taken the position that no discovery should occur until their pending motions  
11 to dismiss<sup>3</sup> and motion to stay discovery<sup>4</sup> have been acted upon by the Court.<sup>5</sup> Defendants’  
12 position is that claims of qualified immunity were made in the Motions to Dismiss and those  
13 claims preclude discovery during the pendency of the motions.<sup>6</sup> Plaintiffs disagree and believe  
14 that discovery should go forward unless limited by the Court.<sup>7</sup> The Parties specifically do not  
15 waive their respective positions regarding the timing and extent of discovery by entering into  
16 this stipulation. However, the Parties are at loggerheads regarding the timing and extent of  
17 discovery at this time, so no discovery is occurring.

18  
19         4.         The Parties ask for extensions of the immediate deadlines as requested, pending  
20 further decision and guidance from the Court. Once that guidance is received, the Parties, as  
21  
22  
23  
24

---

25 <sup>1</sup> Stipulated Discovery Plan (#39), 3:24-4:1.

26 <sup>2</sup> *Id.* 4: 4-18.

27 <sup>3</sup> Motion to Dismiss filed by the City (#14) with a Joinder (#17) and Motion to Dismiss filed by Seroka and Coffin  
28 (#16) with a Joinder (#23).

<sup>4</sup> Motion to Stay (#14).

<sup>5</sup> Motion to Compel (#41), Exhibit 3.

<sup>6</sup> *Id.*

<sup>7</sup> Motion to Compel (#41), 5:8 -6:12.

appropriate, will be able to request “better-informed, more precise extensions” of the discovery terms and deadlines, as promised in the Stipulated Discovery Plan.

Dated: August 30, 2018

HUTCHISON & STEFFEN, PLLC

*/s/ Joseph S. Kistler*

---

Mark A. Hutchison (4639)  
Joseph S. Kistler (3458)  
10080 West Alta Drive, Suite 200  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89145  
Telephone: (702) 385-2500  
Facsimile: (702) 385-2086  
mhutchison@hutchlegal.com  
jkistler@hutchlegal.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

Dated: August 30, 2018

KOLESAR & LEATHAM

*/s/ William D. Schuller*

---

Alan J. LeFebvre, Esq.  
William D. Schuller, Esq.  
400 South Rampart Boulevard, Suite 400  
Las Vegas, NV 89145

*Attorneys for Defendant City of Las Vegas*

Dated: August 30, 2018

OLSON, CANNON, GORMLEY, ANGULO  
& STOBERSKI

*/s/ Peter M. Angulo*

---

Peter M. Angulo  
9950 W. Cheyenne Ave.  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89129  
Telephone: (702) 384-4012  
Facsimile: (702) 383-0701

*Attorney for Defendants Coffin and Seroka*

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DATED: August 31, 2018